

# Prediabetes and Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus: The Hidden Danger

<sup>1</sup>Suprava Patel, <sup>2</sup>Rachita Nanda, <sup>3</sup>Jessy Abraham, <sup>4</sup>Sibasish Sahoo, <sup>5</sup>Anirban Ganguly, <sup>6</sup>Eli Mohapatra

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Diabetes is considered as a major challenge to the public health system in India. Recent articles clearly mention that the hidden danger in the form of prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes is greatly adding to the burden silently. Awareness regarding the same, particularly among youth, can help diagnose the condition very early and thus, initiate early management.

**Objectives:** With an aim to estimate the frequency of prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes in the adult population, a camp was organized in our institute to screen the adults in our locality by estimating fasting plasma glucose (FPG) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c).

**Materials and methods:** A total of 246 individuals were selected for analysis after excluding the known diabetic cases. Height, weight, pulse, blood pressure (BP), waist circumference, and body mass index (BMI) were measured. Plasma fasting sugar and fasting serum lipid profile were analyzed. The HbA1c was estimated in hyperglycemic subjects.

**Results:** The frequency of hyperglycemia in the study population was found to be 28%. The total frequency of prediabetes was 18.3% and that of undiagnosed diabetes was 9.75%. The raised sugar could be significantly associated with age, waist circumference, BMI, hypertriglyceridemia, and cholesterol-to-high-density lipoprotein (Chol:HDL) ratio. Aging, greater BMI, hypertriglyceridemia, and raised low-density lipoprotein (LDL) depicted significant odds ratio (OR) to predict the risk factor for diabetes.

**Conclusion:** The hidden burden of diabetes in our locality is quite high, which, if not taken care, would result in a public health catastrophe.

**Keywords:** Diabetes, Dyslipidemia, Fasting plasma glucose, Glycosylated hemoglobin, Prediabetes.

**How to cite this article:** Patel S, Nanda R, Abraham J, Sahoo S, Ganguly A, Mohapatra E. Prediabetes and Undiagnosed Diabetes Mellitus: The Hidden Danger. *Indian J Med Biochem* 2017;21(2):91-95.

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None

<sup>1,3</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2</sup>Additional Professor, <sup>4,5</sup>Senior Resident <sup>6</sup>Professor

<sup>1-6</sup>Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

**Corresponding Author:** Suprava Patel, Associate Professor Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India, Phone: +918518881707 e-mail: dr\_suprava@yahoo.co.in

## INTRODUCTION

India is currently facing a major challenge of an epidemic burden of diabetes mellitus (DM), presently populated with 69.2 million diabetic individuals.<sup>1</sup> India is among the top three countries with high diabetic population, and the burden of undiagnosed DM in India is at about 52% (36 million adults). India is the prime focus area for diabetes-related research because of three reasons: (i) It is the second largest country of adult diabetic individuals, after China; (ii) it is regarded as the second largest nation to be populated with children with type 1 diabetes (70,200), after USA; and (iii) it is the largest contributor to regional mortality attributable to diabetes (one million deaths).<sup>2</sup> Unlike diabetes, prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes are the hidden dangers that would further accelerate the diabetes burden in future and worsen the scenario. Estimating their prevalence would be a vital index to calculate the actual burden of the disorder and thus, enable to formulate and implement appropriate policies before it turns to be a public health catastrophe.

In type II DM, relative deficiency of insulin accelerates the rate of lipolysis in insulin-dependent tissues as in adipose tissues and muscles resulting in mobilization of free fatty acids to liver where it acts as precursor for triglyceride (TG) synthesis. Hence, dyslipidemia has been suggested by many authors as a notable risk factor for insulin resistance (IR) and DM.<sup>2-4</sup>

## OBJECTIVES

With an aim to screen for undiagnosed DM and prediabetic profile in the adult population of Raipur city and estimate the frequency of DM and prediabetic cases in the community, our department arranged a camp in the institute.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A camp was organized by our department in the campus of the institute. Concise instructions and preparatory information (overnight fasting of 8 hours) inscribed in pamphlets, in local language and English, were distributed in the city. This camp was approved by our Institutional Board.

A total of 298 individuals got enrolled for the camp. All individuals were asked to sign the informed consent

form followed by registration. Height, weight, and waist circumference were measured, and BMI was calculated for all of them. Pulse and BP were measured by manual sphygmomanometer in sitting position.

Fasting plasma glucose and serum lipid profile (cholesterol, TG, HDL) were estimated immediately after in automated analyzer (Biosystems B400) and HbA1c in D10 hematoanalyzer. The LDL was calculated by Friedewald's method. Individuals with FPG >100 mg/dL were subjected to estimation of HbA1c to assess their metabolic state and categorize them as diabetic and prediabetic.

Forty-six known diabetic cases also got enrolled, which were excluded during the analysis in order to have the actual burden of undiagnosed cases. Six individuals with known sickle cell disease had raised FPG and elevated HbA1c levels and were also excluded during analysis.

Individuals were categorized as normoglycemic (FPG  $\leq$  100 mg/dL) and hyperglycemic (FPG > 100 mg/dL).

All hyperglycemics were subcategorized as prediabetic and diabetic as per the diagnostic criteria laid by the American Diabetes Association.<sup>5</sup>

## Diabetics

Individuals with FPG  $\geq$  126 mg/dL and/or HbA1c  $\geq$  6.5%. If any patient has A1c  $\geq$  6.5%, but FPG < 126 mg/dL, that person was considered as diabetic.

## Prediabetics

Individuals with FPG between 101 and 125 mg/dL and/or HbA1c 5.7 and 6.4%.

Estimation of HbA1c was by the ion exchange high-performance liquid chromatography method as certified by the National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program, which is supposed to be advantageous over FPG and oral glucose tolerance testing because of its greater preanalytical stability and minimum interference by day-to-day activity and stress.<sup>5,6</sup>

Desirable ranges for the variables measured were as per Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk Categories given in Table 1.<sup>7</sup>

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 16.0. Causal relationship between the variables was determined by chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. The OR with 95% confidence interval (CI) was estimated using logistic regression predicting the factors associated with diabetes. For two-tailed p-values of <0.05 were considered significant, with 95% CIs.

## RESULTS

The data analysis revealed that 67% (n = 165) of the participants were young adults of age group less than

**Table 1:** Desirable range for the measured variables

Variables	Desirable range/0 risk factor
Waist circumference	Men: <94 cm; Women: <80 cm
BMI	18.5–24.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup>
Pulse	60–100 per min
BP	<140/90 mm Hg
FPG	<126 mg/dL
HbA1c	<5.7%
Serum cholesterol	<200 mg/dL
Serum TG	<150 mg/dL
Serum HDL	$\geq$ 60 mg/dL
Serum VLDL	$\leq$ 30 mg/dL
Serum LDL	<130 mg/dL
Chol:HDL	3.3–4.4
LDL:HDL	0.5–3.0

VLDL: Very low-density lipoprotein

**Table 2:** Distribution of study population according to fasting blood sugar level

Dependant variables	Frequency	Percentage
Normoglycemic ( $\leq$ 100 mg/dL)	177 (n = 246)	71.95
Prediabetic (>100 and <126 mg/dL)	45 (n = 246)	18.30
Diabetic ( $\geq$ 126 mg/dL)	24 (n = 246)	9.75
Raised HbA1c ( $\geq$ 5.7%)	33 (n = 69)	47.9

45 years. The frequency of hyperglycemia was calculated to be 28% (69/246) in this community (Table 2). The incidence of prediabetes and diabetes was observed to be respectively, 18.3 (n = 45) and 9.7% (n = 24). About 47.9% (n = 33) of hyperglycemic subjects depicted raised HbA1c levels.

The mean age of participants was  $38.7 \pm 10.2$  years, frequency of hypertension was 38.6%, 54.9% had greater waist circumference, and 62.2% recorded high BMI, of which 25% (n = 38/153) were obese. Some sort of addiction history like smoking, tobacco chewing, regular alcohol intake, and other chewing habits was found in 26% people, family history was positive in 28% cases, 49.2% gave history for exercising regularly, and 72% of all study subjects were found to be vegetarians. Dyslipidemia was represented in 47.5% of the study population.

The  $\chi^2$  test in Table 3 revealed that age group, waist circumference, and BMI have a significant (p < 0.05) difference in proportion within each group. Participants of age group  $\geq$  45 years have 2.68 times more risk of diabetes as compared with those participants within the age group 18 to 44 years (CI 1.5–4.78; p < 0.01).

As compared with subjects with normal BMI, those who are underweight tend to have low rate of diabetes (OR: 0); whereas obese ( $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) people are more prone to be diagnosed as diabetes (OR: 3.69; p < 0.01).

As shown in Table 4, associated hypertriglyceridemia (TG  $\geq$  150 mg/dL) raises the risk 2.21 (p < 0.05) times for diabetes against the desirable level of TG. Individuals

**Table 3:** Percentage distribution of diabetes status by physiological characteristics by chi-squared test

Variables	Normoglycemic	Prediabetic	Diabetic	Total	p-value
<i>Age group (years)</i>					
18–24	15	0	0	15	0.006*
25–34	79	14	5	98	
35–44	36	10	6	52	
≥45	47	21	13	81	
<i>Gender</i>					
Male	124	31	18	173	0.86
Female	53	14	6	73	
<i>Blood pressure (mm Hg)</i>					
Normotensive	114	24	13	151	0.295
Hypertensive	63	21	11	95	
<i>Waist circumference (cm)</i>					
Normal	86	12	13	111	0.02*
High	91	33	11	135	
<i>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</i>					
Normal (18.5–24.9)	74	7	9	90	0.007*
Underweight (<18.5)	3	0	0	3	
Overweight (25–29.9)	8	24	11	115	
Obese (≥30)	20	14	4	38	
<i>Addiction</i>					
Yes	43	14	7	64	0.605
No	134	31	17	182	
<i>Family history</i>					
Yes	41	8	5	54	0.731
No	136	37	19	192	
<i>Diet</i>					
Vegetarian	124	35	19	178	0.43
Mixed	53	10	5	68	
<i>Exercise (regular)</i>					
Yes	85	24	12	121	0.81
No	92	21	12	125	

\*p&lt;0.05 significant difference

**Table 4:** Lipid profile association with diabetes

Variables	Odds ratio	95% CI		p-value
		Lower	Upper	
<i>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</i>				
Desirable (<200)				
Moderate and high risk (≥200)	0.99	0.49	2.01	0.99
<i>TG (mg/dL)</i>				
Desirable (<150)				
Moderate and high risk (≥150)	2.21	1.20	4.09	0.011*
<i>HDL (mg/dL)</i>				
Desirable (≥60)				
Moderate and high risk (<60)	1.20	0.30	4.69	0.79
<i>LDL (mg/dL)</i>				
Desirable (<129)				
Moderate and high risk (≥129)	2.1	1.12	3.93	0.04*
<i>Chol:HDL</i>				
Desirable (3.3–4.4)	1.726	0.822	3.623	0.14
Moderate and high risk (> 4.4)				
<i>LDL:HDL</i>				
Desirable (0.5–3.0)				
Moderate and high risk (>3.0)	1.18	0.65	2.16	0.57

Logistic regression, \*p&lt;0.05 significant difference

**Table 5:** Pearson correlation between fasting plasma sugar and physiological and biochemical variables

Blood sugar	Pearson correlation	Significant (two-tailed)	n
Age	0.215	<0.001**	246
Pulse	0.112	0.080	246
BP	0.112	0.008*	246
Waist circumference	0.117	0.067	246
BMI	0.135	0.035*	246
Blood sugar	1		246
TChol	0.168	0.008*	246
TG	0.320	<0.001**	246
HDL	-0.036	0.576	246
LDL	0.100	0.117	246
VLDL	0.321	< 0.001**	246
TChol:HDL	0.184	0.004*	246
LDL:HDL	0.127	0.047*	246

\*p < 0.05 significant difference

with elevated LDL ( $\geq 129$  mg/dL) are at a 2.1 times more risk for diabetes in comparison with those with normal levels ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Pearson correlation analysis demonstrated significant positive correlation between FPG and age ( $p < 0.01$ ), BMI ( $p < 0.05$ ) TC ( $p < 0.001$ ), very low-density lipoprotein (VLDL;  $p < 0.001$ ), Chol:HDL ( $p < 0.01$ ), and HDL:LDL ( $p < 0.05$ ) as tabulated in Table 5.

## DISCUSSION

The result of one-time analysis of the adult participants who attended the camp for screening tests for diabetes and who otherwise had never been diagnosed as diabetic or prediabetic revealed an incidence of prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes, together, to be 28%. Further analysis of HbA1c in these subjects confirmed diabetes in 9.7%, whereas 18.3% individuals were in prediabetic group. The prevalence of newly detected diabetes in Indian Council of Medical Research–India Diabetes (ICMR–INDIAB) study population ( $n = 13,055$ ) was reported to be 4.4% in Tamil Nadu (5.2% urban and 3.8% rural), 5.9% in Maharashtra (7.2% urban and 4.9% rural), 2.9% in Jharkhand (5.1% urban and 2.3% rural), and 7.4% in Chandigarh (7.6% urban and 5.2% rural). The prevalence of prediabetes in the same study was respectively, 8.3, 12.8, 8.1, and 14.6%.<sup>8</sup> Small-scale studies are being carried in different states of India. Of all the published data, highest prevalence of diabetes reported until date is from Ernakulum, Kerala (19.5%) and lowest from Kashmir valley (6.1%).<sup>9</sup> Provided the patients complied with proper fasting condition prior to analysis and the history provided were true and there was no misleading, our data conformed with the prevalence data being depicted by the ICMR study and other studies. As per the above data documented, the

frequency of prediabetes (18.3%) in this area of the state is estimated to be the highest of all.

As per the Chhattisgarh annual health survey 2011 to 12 fact sheet, total population of diagnosed diabetic cases in the state was 1,484 per 100,000 population (1.4%) and that in Raipur city was reported to be 1,960 per 100,000 population (1.9%).<sup>10</sup> However, prediabetes and undiagnosed diabetes data were not available in the fact sheet. The difference in frequency percentage could be attributed to the fact that we did not call for a second time test for confirmation or there might have been differences in the performance methodology, the reference values being compared, availability of quality control laboratories, or due to differences in the guidelines being followed.

Studies have been published depicting poor glycemic control among subjects with newly diagnosed diabetes in India.<sup>11</sup> Ramachandran et al<sup>12</sup> recorded poor glycemic control in 71.2% subjects. Only about 31% subjects had HbA1c below 7.0%, whereas 19 to 20% persons either did not evaluate or were not aware of the test. Our participants documented raised HbA1c ( $\geq 5.7\%$ ) level in 47.9% of all hyperglycemics. Of the 24 diabetic cases, 37.5% ( $n = 9$ ) reflected poor glycemic control (HbA1c  $\geq 7.0$ ).

The major participants in this camp were found to be young individuals ( $\leq 40$  years) who represented 58% of the whole study population. This reflects the magnitude of awareness among the youth toward their health.

The rising trend of FPG and HbA1c correlated significantly with age and reflected the impact of aging on IR. The result corroborated with various studies that explain the role of systemic inflammatory response during the aging process. In accordance to previously published articles, our analysis showed association of diabetes and prediabetic state with greater abdominal obesity and BMI. However, it showed significant positive correlation with BMI, which was found to be an independent risk factor for DM. Indian's body fat content is comparatively higher as compared with their peer groups in the Western countries. As per WHO expert consultation, appropriate BMI should be revised and standardized based on the regional population anthropometric measurements.<sup>13,14</sup>

The FPG correlated positively with serum cholesterol, TG, Chol:HDL, and LDL:HDL ratios, but dyslipidemia in the form of hypertriglyceridemia and raised LDL level only were indicated to be significant risk factors for diabetes and prediabetes. Park et al,<sup>3</sup> in their study, had proposed that the best marker for IR was TG. Dyslipidemia observed in our study group was limited to hypertriglyceridemia and raised LDL level only. No causal association could be analyzed for FPG and HbA1c with other metabolic profiles like total serum cholesterol, serum HDL, Chol:HDL, and LDL:HDL. This could be

explained by the fact that significantly higher number of individuals were in the prediabetic group, who might not have developed dyslipidemia and metabolic derangements to be significantly associated with FPG and HbA1c. Early diagnosis and management would improve not only the health status of the individual, but also the health index of the area by reducing the incidence and financial burden of comorbidities and complications arising due to IR and metabolic aberrations.

Some of the limitations in this analysis were: (i) Post-prandial plasma glucose was not evaluated; (ii) second sample on different occasion for confirmation of FPG level could not be determined; (iii) other associated endocrine disorders like thyroid, adrenal, and pituitary hormone imbalances that could affect the glucose homeostasis were not estimated.

## CONCLUSION

The burden revealed in this study will be an eye opener for the health system to initiate and implement awareness programs and strategize health care policies to combat the catastrophic burden of this epidemic. Early diagnosis no doubt would reduce the disease burden in the future and, thus, upgrade our health index by lowering the chronicity of the disease. More strategically planned health camps and large-scale multicentric studies involving all age groups from different geographical locations and racial and ethnical distributions would aid in a providing more accurate data of the disease burden.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors would like to thank all the technical and non-technical staff of the department for organizing the camp. They are very grateful to the Admin and Finance sections of the institute for providing financial support. The authors are also thankful to Dr Kittu Bardia, MDS (Public Health Dentistry), for her assistance in statistical analysis of the data.

## REFERENCES

1. Patnaik PK, Jain KK, Chandra P, Pathak J, Raman KV, Shah A. Diabetes in India: Measuring the dynamics of a public health catastrophe. *J Soc Health Diabetes* 2016 Aug;4(2):77-84.
2. Kim H, Lee M, Kim H, Lee K, Chang S, Kim V, Myong JP, Jeon S. Factors affecting diabetic screening behavior of Korean adults: a multilevel analysis. *Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci)* 2013 Jun;7(2):67-73.
3. Park SY, Cho YJ, Lee SR, Chung H, Jeong K. Triglyceride is a useful surrogate marker for insulin resistance in Korean women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Yonsei Med J* 2015 May;56(3):785-792.
4. Kannel WB, Vasan RS, Keyes MJ, Sullivan LM, Robins SJ. Usefulness of the triglyceride: high density lipoprotein versus the cholesterol: high density lipoprotein ratio for predicting insulin resistance and cardiometabolic risk (from the Framingham Offspring Cohort). *Am J Cardiol* 2008 Feb;101(4):497-501.
5. American Diabetes Association. ADA: standards of medical care in diabetes – 2017. *J Clin Appl Res Educ* 2017 Jan;40(Suppl 1): S1-S142.
6. NGSP, Harmonizing Hemoglobin A1c Testing. List of NGSP certified methods (updated 2/17, listed by date certified). 2017. [cited 2017 Feb 5]. Available from: <http://www.ngsp.org/docs/methods.pdf>.
7. Jellinger PS, Smith DA, Mehta AE, Ganda O, Handelsman Y, Rodbard HW, Shepherd MD, Seibel JA, AACE Task Force for Management of Dyslipidemia and Prevention of Atherosclerosis. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists' Guidelines for Management of Dyslipidemia and Prevention of Atherosclerosis: executive summary. *Endocr* 2012 Mar-Apr;18(2):269-293.
8. Anjana RM, Pradeepa R, Deepa M, Datta M, Sudha V, Unnikrishnan R, Bhansali A, Joshi SR, Joshi PP, Yajnik CS, et al. Prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes (impaired fasting glucose and/or impaired glucose tolerance) in urban and rural India: phase I results of the Indian Council of Medical Research-India DIABetes (ICMR-INDIAB) study. *Diabetologia* 2011 Dec;54(12):3022-3027.
9. Tandon, N.; Raizada, N. The burden of diabetes in India (revision number 8). In: *Diapedia*. Amsterdam: Diapedia. org; 2014. [cited 2017 Feb 4]. Available from: <http://www.diapedia.org/1105045828/rev/8>.
10. Annual Health Survey 2011-12. Chhattisgarh. New Delhi: Office of Registrar general and census Commissioner, India. [cited 2017 Feb 4]. Available from: [http://www.censusindia.gov.in/vital\\_statistics/AHSBulletins/AHS\\_Factsheets\\_2011\\_12/Chhattisgarh\\_Factsheet\\_2011-12.pdf](http://www.censusindia.gov.in/vital_statistics/AHSBulletins/AHS_Factsheets_2011_12/Chhattisgarh_Factsheet_2011-12.pdf).
11. Unnikrishnan R, Anjana RM, Deepa M, Pradeepa R, Joshi SR, Bhansali A, Dhandania VK, Joshi PP, Madhu SV, Rao PV, et al. Glycemic control among individuals with self-reported diabetes in India--the ICMR-INDIAB Study. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2014 Sep;16(9):596-603.
12. Ramachandran A, Mary S, Sathish CK, Selvam S, Catherin Seeli A, Muruganandam M, Yamuna A, Murugesan N, Snehalatha CI. Population based study of quality of diabetes care in southern India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2008 Jul;56:513-516.
13. Shiwaku K, Anuurad E, Enkhmaa B, Kitajima K, Yamane Y. Appropriate BMI for Asian populations. *Lancet* 2004 Mar; 363(9414):1077.
14. WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet Lond Engl* 2004 Jan;363(9403): 157-163.